



Mapping polarized continuum emission with the Effelsberg Telescope

Rainer Beck

Continuum & polarization mapping: present status

- Single-horn receivers in primary focus (1.4 GHz) or in secondary focus (2.64 and 8.35 GHz)
- Multi-horn receivers in secondary focus (4.85, 10.45, 32 GHz)
- Linearly polarized signals (X and Y) are transformed into circularly polarized signals (Stokes parameters R and L) by a hardware hybrid, turning the phase of one signal by 90°
- A correlator generates signals of linear polarization in Stokes parameters U and Q
- 4 output signals: R, L, U, Q
- But only one broad frequency channel

Computing Stokes parameters by correlation

(1) Linear input:

$$I = |E_x|^2 + |E_y|^2, Q = |E_x|^2 - |E_y|^2, U = 2\text{Re}(E_x E_y^*), V = -2\text{Im}(E_x E_y^*),$$

(2) Circular input:

$$I = |E_l|^2 + |E_r|^2,$$

$$Q = 2\text{Re}(E_l^*E_r),$$

$$U = -2\text{Im}(E_l^*E_r),$$

$$V = |E_l|^2 - |E_r|^2.$$

Stable signals in Q and U need circular inputs !

Hybrid



- No perfect phase turning possible (elliptical pol)
- Wide bands ($\Delta v/v > 2$): hardware hybrid no longer appropriate

Effelsberg 2.64 GHz (11 cm) SFK single-horn receiver







Continuum & polarization mapping: calibration signal

- Noise diode: linearly polarized calibration signal to remove variations in gain and phase
- On/off switching at 32 msec rate
- Signal and calibration signals are separated in 32 msec intervals
- Strong RFI is filtered by comparing adjacent points
- Calibration signal is linearly fitted for each subscan (a single scan in a map) in all 4 signal channels
- Signal/<cal> is averaged to about 3 pixels per beamwidth

Effelsberg 2.64 GHz (11.1 cm), BW = 80 MHz



Total intensity: $\sigma \approx 0.8 \text{ mJy}/5' \text{ beam}$

Q & U: $\sigma \approx 0.45 \text{ mJy}/5' \text{beam}$

Beck, in prep.

Effelsberg 4.85 GHz (6.3 cm), BW = 500 MHz



Peak contour flux = 140.6 mJy/beam Levs = 2.5 mJy/beam * (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20)Pol line 1 arcmin = 1.2 mJy/beam

Total intensity: $\sigma \approx 0.5$ mJy/ 3['] beam



Levs = 0.35 mJy/beam * (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12) Pol line 1 arcmin = 1.2 mJy/beam

Q & U: σ ≈ 0.07 mJy/3´ beam

Beck, in prep.

Effelsberg 8.35 GHz (3.6 cm), BW = 1100 MHz



Total intensity: $\sigma \approx 0.5 \text{ mJy}/2^{\prime} \text{ beam}$

Q & U: σ **≈ 0.04 mJy/2´beam**

Tabatabaei et al. 2008

Effelsberg is the world's most sensitive radio telescope to map diffuse polarized emission

- $\sigma \approx 0.07$ mJy/ 3' beam (Q&U at 4.85 GHz, 29h on-source to map a field of $\approx 1 \text{ deg}^2$)
- This corresponds to a surface brightness of diffuse emission of 0.5 μJy/ 15" beam
- JVLA C-band D-array (3 GHz bandwidth): more than 100h onsource needed, FoV only ≈0.02 deg²
- JVLA cannot see large-scale emission

 \rightarrow Effelsberg is more sensitive to diffuse emission than the JVLA

Present-day limits to measure magnetic field strengths with the Effelsberg telescope

Lowest detectable total intensity: $S_{min} \approx 1.5 \text{ mJy}/3'$ beam (confusion limited):

Equipartition strength of the total field, 1000 pc pathlength, spectral index -0.8: $\approx 6 \ \mu G$ 100 pc pathlength: $\approx 11 \ \mu G$

Lowest detectable polarized intensity: $S_{min} \approx 0.2 \text{ mJy}/3'$ beam (NOT confusion limited):

Equipartition strength of the ordered field, 1000 pc pathlength, spectral index -0.8: $\approx 2.5 \ \mu G$ 100 pc pathlength: $\approx 4.5 \ \mu G$

Reasons for the success of the Effelsberg systems

- Sensitivity (low system temperatures)
- Low degree of instrumental polarization
- Absolute gain stability by fast switching of the cal signal
- Relative gain stability between R and L
- Phase stability between Q and U
- Removal of quadratic terms of the correlator by using a 180° phase shift
- Dedicated software to reduce scanning effects

Suppressing scanning noise: Scanning in different directions



Suppressing scanning noise (8.35 GHz)



Suppressing scanning noise: Dual-horn observations (4.8 GHz)



mp2638.all 29-Sep-2010 19:23 by



mp2638.all 29-Sep-2010 19:23 by

Continuum & polarization mapping: the future

- New wide-band receivers allow even higher sensitivity
- Transformation from linear to circular polarization is crucial, but hardware hybrids cannot be used in the new wide-band systems
 → Digital conversion (see e.g. Das et al. 2011)
- Switched calibration signal is crucial for stability (but blank / sync information needed)
- Digital correlation of many frequency channels for two signal inputs (R and L)
- Multi-channel polarimetry allows to apply RM Synthesis and to compute Faraday spectra)
- Improved software for scanning removal, cleaning, etc: NOD3